

The draft Investigatory Powers Bill

The New Scientist has called the government "scientifically illiterate".

<https://www.newscientist.com/article/dn28445-uk-surveillance-bill-makes-a-scientific-ass-out-of-the-law/>

Quote:

"Counter-intelligence: The UK government's proposed surveillance and drugs bills are deeply flawed. Is it being scientifically illiterate, or wilfully ignorant?"

<https://www.newscientist.com/article/mg22830472-800-uk-spying-rules-may-drive-criminals-to-use-stronger-encryption/>

Quote:

"Experts say Home secretary Theresa May's draft "snooper's charter" bill will damage privacy, and may push criminals into using encryption"

The above articles help to explain why the proposed new law on surveillance is completely flawed.

Mr Mathew Hare has already eloquently explained to your committee why the quantity of data, the encryption systems available and ability of ISP's and the cost to ISP's makes this whole process untenable.

Thank you,